

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAYMOND W. LOU,  
Plaintiff,

v.

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK N.A., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [3:17-cv-04157-WHO](#)

**JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT  
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**


Re: Dkt. No. 43

I previously dismissed all claims against defendant JPMorgan Chase, N.A. (“Chase”) and dismissed it from this case. Order Granting Chase’s Mot. to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 42). Chase now moves for entry of final judgment.<sup>1</sup> Dkt. No. 43. This matter is suitable without oral argument, and the hearing scheduled for April 4, 2018 is VACATED. Civil L. R. 7-1(b).

Because I dismissed the claims against Chase with prejudice, I see “no just reason for delay” regarding entry of final judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b). Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Chase and against plaintiff. Each party to bear its own fees and costs, as requested by Chase. Dkt. No. 43-1, p.2.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 21, 2018



William H. Orrick  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff has not filed an opposition to this motion.